

Important Information Regarding the Contents of this Document

Please note that the policies and information presented in this document are current through the date given below. The documents made available within the [Center's Conservation Districts web pages](#) are intended to serve as a guide for the policies set by each Conservation District. While these policies may in fact be current at the time of your viewing, it is strongly recommended to contact the relevant Conservation District for the most current version.

Document Current Date: May 27, 2015

**COLUMBIA COUNTY CONSERVATION DISTRICT QAB
DIRT AND GRAVEL LOW VOLUME ROADS
APPLICATION CRITERIA RANKING FORM**

Application Type	
	Unpaved (Dirt & Gravel Road)
	Paved (Low Volume Road)

Applicant: _____ Date: _____
 Project: _____ Final Score: _____

Section 2: SEVERITY OF PROBLEM, continued
Ranking in accordance with the current DGLVR Program Assessment Guide

CIRCLE APPROPRIATE SCORE: 9. Outlet/Bleeder stability Stable Moderate Unstable	POINTS	
	Initial Screen	QAB Ranking
10. Road ditch stability Stable Fair Poor Unstable		
11. Road bank stability Stable Fair Poor Unstable		
12. Average canopy cover Moderate Minimal Heavy		
13. Water quality of affected stream Warm Water Fishery High Quality Cold Water Fishery Exceptional Value		
14. Stream trout status None Stocked Trout Wild Trout		
Section 2 Subtotal:		

Section 3: EFFECTIVENESS OF SOLUTION

CIRCLE APPROPRIATE SCORE:	POINTS	
	Initial Screen	QAB Ranking
1. Off-ROW impacts resolved None Minimal Some Many		
2. Degree to which project remediates impact to waterbody Slightly Moderately Highly Almost All		
3. Degree to which project improves road: Slightly Moderately Highly Extremely High		
4. Cost effectiveness for environmental benefit? Low Moderate High Very High		
Section 3 Subtotal:		

**COLUMBIA COUNTY CONSERVATION DISTRICT QAB
DIRT AND GRAVEL LOW VOLUME ROADS
APPLICATION CRITERIA RANKING FORM**

Application Type	
	Unpaved (Dirt & Gravel Road)
	Paved (Low Volume Road)

Applicant: _____ Date: _____
 Project: _____ Final Score: _____

Section 4: OTHER FACTORS

CIRCLE APPROPRIATE SCORE:	POINTS	
	Initial Screen	QAB Ranking
1. In kind services provided by the applicant (0 - 10%) (11% - 20%) (21 - 35%) (>35%)		
2. Did applicant contact the District about project before submittal? No Discussed Met on site		
3. Is applicant maintaining recently funded DGLVR projects properly? No Functional Yes (or first DGLVR project)		
4. Does the project involve more than one municipality? No Yes		
Section 4 Subtotal:		
TOTAL:		