Important Information Regarding the Contents of this Document

Please note that the policies and information presented in this document are current through the date given below. The documents made available within the <u>Center's Conservation Districts web pages</u> are intended to serve as a guide for the policies set by each Conservation District. While these policies may in fact be current at the time of your viewing, it is strongly recommended to contact the relevant Conservation District for the most current version.

Document Current Date: May 27, 2015

COLUMBIA COUNTY CONSERVATION DISTRICT QAB DIRT AND GRAVEL LOW VOLUME ROADS APPLICATION CRITERIA RANKING FORM

Application Type			
	Unpaved (Dirt & Gravel Road)		
	Payed (Low Volume Road)		

Applicant:Project:				Date:		
			Final Score:			
Se		ATION VALIDATION	wered "NO", the application	is currently not eligible	le for funding	
	ij uny oj the que	stions in Section 1 are ansi	werea NO, the application	is currently not english	Please	
	Does this road si	te negatively impact a strea	am, lake, wetland or other w	ater hody?	YES	NO
		= : :	ental impacts to a water bod	·	YES	NO
			ı "ESM Certified" within the p	•	YES	NO
			requirements (non-pollution	•	YES	NO
		* *	cies adopted by the Columbi	• •	YES	NO
			obtain all necessary permits?		YES	NO
	· ·	=	is point, is it 500 vehicles per	day or less?	YES	NO
	,			,	unava	
Se	ction 2: SEVERIT	Y OF PROBLEM				
	Ranking in acco	rdance with the current DG	LVR Program Assessment G	uide		
					POI	NTS
	RCLE APPROPRIA				Initial	QAB
1.	Road drainage		NA . d	C	Screen	Ranking
	None	Slight	Moderate	Severe		
2.	Wet site condit	tions				
	Dry	Saturated Ditches	Roadside Springs			
		Flow in Ditches	Saturated Base			
	Road surface co					
DG	: Hard Gravel	Mixed Stone	Mixed Stone, Dirt	Severe Dust		
LVR	<u>:</u> Good	Fair, some cracking	Poor, cracking	Damaged		
4.	Road slope					
	(<5%)	(5% - 10%)	(>10%)			
5.	Road shape (cr	oss-slope/crown)				
	Good	Fair	Poor			
6.	Slope to strean	n				
	(<30%)	(30-60%)	(>60%)			
7.	Distance to stre (>100')	eam (50' - 100')	(<50'/crossing)			
8.	Outlets to strea	am Near Stream 3	Directly to Stream			

COLUMBIA COUNTY CONSERVATION DISTRICT QAB DIRT AND GRAVEL LOW VOLUME ROADS APPLICATION CRITERIA RANKING FORM

Application Type			
	Unpaved (Dirt & Gravel Road)		
	Payed (Low Volume Road)		

Applicant:			Date:		_
Project:			Final Score:		_
	Y OF PROBLEM, continue		sment Guide		
				POI	NTS
CIRCLE APPROPRIA				Initial	QAB
9. Outlet/Bleeder				Screen	Ranking
Stable	Moderate	Unstable			
10. Road ditch stak	pility				
Stable	Fair	Poor	Unstable		
11. Road bank stak	pility				
Stable	Fair	Poor	Unstable		
12. Average canop	y cover				
Moderate	Minimal	Heavy			
13. Water quality of	of affected stream				
Warm Water F	ishery	High Quality	1		
Cold Water Fisl	hery	Exceptional	Value		
14. Stream trout st	atus				
None	Stocked Trout	Wild Trout			
			Section 2 Subtotal:		
Section 3: EFFECTI	VENESS OF SOLUTION				
				POI	NTS
CIRCLE APPROPRIA	ATE SCORE:			Initial	QAB
1. Off-ROW impa	cts resolved			Screen	Ranking
None	Minimal	Some	Many		<u> </u>
2. Degree to which	h project remediates imp	pact to waterbody			
Slightly	Moderately	Highly	Almost All		
3. Degree to which	th project improves road:				
Slightly	Moderately	Highly	Extremely High		
4. Cost effectiven	ess for environmental be	nefit?			
Low	Moderate	High	Very High		
			Section 3 Subtotal:		

COLUMBIA COUNTY CONSERVATION DISTRICT QAB DIRT AND GRAVEL LOW VOLUME ROADS APPLICATION CRITERIA RANKING FORM

Application Type			
	Unpaved (Dirt & Gravel Road)		
	Paved (Low Volume Road)		

	A 12 1			D. L.		
Applicant: Date: Project: Final Score:			Date:		-	
				Final Score:		_
Sec	ction 4: OTHER	FACTORS				
					PO	INTS
CIF	RCLE APPROPRIA	ATE SCORE:			Initial	QAB
1.	In kind service:	s provided by the applica	nt		Screen	Ranking
	(0 - 10%)	(11% - 20%)	(21 - 35%)	(>35%)		
2.	Did applicant o	contact the District about	project before submitta	al?		
	No	Discussed	Met on site			
3.	Is applicant ma	aintaining recently funded	d DGLVR projects prope	rly?		
	No	Functional	Yes (or first DGLV	'R project)		
4.	Does the proje	ect involve more than one	municipality?			
	No	Yes				
			;	Section 4 Subtotal:		
				TOTAL:		