QAQC Round 5 Ratings Overview

This document is provided to detail each rating category and provide a few examples of specific items that would meet those ratings. This is not a complete list of items that will guarantee you receive each rating. There are several things that the QAQC takes into consideration when choosing a final rating which may not be listed on this document.

Exceptional

Local program is exceeding expectations in every category and on every project. Saved for the best of the best.

Districts that are rated exceptional meet the criteria for exceeding expectations plus are doing some of the examples below:

- District staff helps other Districts in their region/area improve their Program.
- District visits each potential applicant in person regularly to discuss the Program.
- All aspects of a project site are addressed properly, and the project shows no need for additional funding.
- Projects are superb, effective, use a variety of ESM practices, use "outside
 the box techniques", address off right-of-way drainage, and all possible
 drainage to a stream has been directed to a stable outlet away from a stream
 and the project site shows no evidence of pollution to a stream.
- Projects show good use of partnering with other funding sources to address other environmental concerns.
 - One example would be funding a diversion berm with other funding sources to direct drainage away from a road.
- District inspects projects well after they are completed and holds the project participant accountable to maintaining them appropriately.

Exceeds Expectations

Simply stated, the local Program goes above and beyond what is required. Examples of items that exceeds expectations:

- District financial tracking is over and above what is required.
 - Tracks salary expenses on an actual hour spent working on the Program.
 - Tracks actual mileage of vehicles.
 - Dedicates more than the required 80% of funding to projects
- Projects show improvements that are over and above the minimum required in policy.
 - Funding comprehensive projects that properly address drainage, base, & stream crossing improvements prior to funding final driving surface.
 - Stream crossings are implemented to achieve stream continuity upstream, though, and downstream of the structure.
 - Cross pipes are installed shallowly with grade breaks/sectional fill to divert surface flow.
 - o Turnouts outlet separately from cross pipes.
 - o Underdrain outlets separate from surface flow in a stable area.
- District staff attends more training than required
 - Attends webinars and online trainings.
 - Participates actively during in-person field trainings.

- Seeks additional training outside of what is provided by the Program and CDGRS.
- District ensures additional eligible entities are ESM certified each year with a goal of all potential applicants being certified.
 - District staff conducts outreach and education to the public about the benefits of the program, not just to eligible entities.
- District staff is doing effective construction inspection and ensuring projects are implemented according to Program policy, goals, and objectives.
 - District staff ensure improper ESM practice installation is corrected prior to final payment.
 - Onsite regularly and actively assists project participants installing ESM practices.
- District is doing very good outreach and education that includes several types of events like demo days, workshops, etc.
- District staff actively assists their project participants in all levels of implementing the project and has a good working relationship with the project participants.
 - Frequent and extended construction oversight to ensure all project components are properly installed.
 - Complete longitudinal profiles for stream crossings and review the information with the designer to aid in design and structure selection.
 - Review bid packages and make recommendations to ensure project meets Program goals and objectives.
 - Assist in gaining landowner permission to do necessary improvements off the road right-of-way.
- Local policy includes local factors and requires more than the minimum required in the Program Admin Manual. Examples:
 - Requires or conducts preapplication/preconstruction meetings for all applications/projects.
 - Requires maintenance of DGLVR projects after completion.
 - Requires District approval/verification for installation of ESM practices prior to moving on to next phase of construction.
 - For example, District verifies cross pipes are installed properly prior to project participant placing DSA
- District develops their own forms or uses Program forms for administration of the Program.
 - o Hard file checklist, narrative, and timeline forms
 - Preapplication meeting
 - o Preconstruction meeting
 - Construction Inspection
 - o Ftc
- Hard files contain significantly more than just the required info.
 - Not only contain the required documents but also the recommended documents from the hard file checklist.
 - Several copies of the Certified Payroll form spanning the length of the project.
 - Detailed sketch on the stream crossing evaluation form to show where bankfull measurements were taken.
 - Good before, during, and after project implementation photos that document the project

- Utilize the project expense tracker form to show how Program funds were utilized.
- Keeps invoices/receipts for the project participant's in-kind expenses.
- QAB goes above and beyond the minimum required:
 - Visits all application sites in person in order to rank them properly
 - o Appoints alternates for each voting member
 - Attends trainings regularly

Meets Expectations

Meeting the requirements of the Program. The District is administering the Program well.

- Program policy, goals, and objectives are met.
- District ensures Program funding is spent within appropriate deadlines and on eligible expenses.
- District is implementing effective projects.
- Financial records show how program funding was utilized. Itemized administration and education/training spending report accurately reflects the quarterly report figures and uses appropriate cost allocation methods.
- District is utilizing program funds responsibly.
- District ensures quarterly report information is accurate.
- District staff and QAB work together to develop ranking criteria that addressed local considerations.
- Hard files are organized properly and contain necessary info. GIS is updated regularly and is accurate.
- Good drainage and base improvements are observed on projects.
- Stream crossings meet Program policy.
- District conducts sufficient field visits to ensure projects are constructed to meet the goals and policy of the Program.
- District is doing enough outreach and education to meet Program goals and objectives.
- District ensures that documentation of project expenses accurately reflects what was implemented on the project site.
- District corrected any required actions from their previous report.

Needs Improvement

Self-explanatory and provides a category between Meets Expectations and Doesn't Meet Expectations for those Districts that are borderline. This is a suggestion from the District QAQC workgroup.

- District is generally meeting Program policy but shows areas where policy was not followed.
- Not meeting several of the items listed in the Meets Expectations category.
- District financial records are incomplete, unorganized, and/or misreported in the GIS system.
- Project oversight lacking in areas.
- Limited outreach and education.
- District staff needs significantly more training.
- Seeing a consistent need for project improvements across several projects.

Does Not Meet Expectations

Not meeting the requirements of the Program.

- District has a history, or shows a pattern of, Program policies not being followed properly.
- Financial tracking does not properly account for Program funds.
- Abuse/Misuse of Program funds.
- District funded projects that are not eligible with Program funds.
 - o Projects funded entirely off right-of-way.
 - Funding stream structures under 100% bankfull width and not designed to accommodate AOP.
 - DSA placed on a project prior to implementing effective drainage and base improvements.
 - o Etc.
- District failed to correct required actions and/or recommendations from previous report.
- District is not doing effective construction oversight or final inspections to ensure projects meet Program policy.
- Adequate staff time is not dedicated to the Program to ensure the Program is administered properly.